

## A STUDY OF THE PARTICLE *ka:* IN KHMER :

*ka:* is a particle to be found in many languages in a large area : Thai (S. Iwazaki and P. Ingkaphirom: 2005), Lao (N. J. Enfield: 2008), Kui (a Môn-Khmer language, B. Somsong : 1992). In those languages, *ka:* presents quite similar uses, and meets the same translations in English : *also, so, too, then, therefore*.

In Thai, the two above mentioned authors refer to *ka:* as a '*linking particle*' with five major functions: 1. 'nominal linker' ('also'), 2. 'clause linker' ('so'), 3. 'discourse linker' ('and then'), 4. 'response maker' ('well'), and 5. 'marker of criticism and disappointment'.

For his part, N. J. Enfield characterizes *ka:* in Lao as a '*focus particle*'; it always stands on the left of the verb. This author notes that the interpretation of *ka:* depends entirely on the context and the situation.

In Khmer, *ka:* is an independant unit standing before a predicate or a clause. Standing before a noun, *ka:* gives this noun a predicative value ((2)). One of the syntactic characteristics worth noting is that *ka:* can only be met in assertive clauses, excluding interrogative ones. In this talk, I will study four types of uses of *ka:* :

1. Concession. Two constructions are possible for this use :

V+*ka:*+V ; the two V are the same ones ((1)) ;

N+*ka:*+N ; the two N are the same ones ((2)) ;

2. Contrast – competition : Subject+*ka:*+V ((3)-(4)),

3. Consecution – concomitance : *ka:* links two clauses ((5)-(6)),

4. Causality : in this case again, *ka:* links two clauses ((7)).

The terms 'concession', 'contrast' etc. are only temporary labelling.

In this study, I put forward the following characterization for *ka:* :

***ka:* means that the predicative sequence working as its scope (noted *p*) is asserted but at the same time presented as problematical or in no way obvious.**

This problematical character of *p* is owing to the fact that *p* is put in an alternative with *p'*. Coming back to *p* comes as a result of a confrontation between *p* and *p'*.

In the examples below, I complete the translation with a comment showing what stands for *p'* confronted with *p*.

(1a)- The co-locutor suggests to the locutor to go to the movie. The latter answers :

*təi ka: təi !*

*go ka: go*

« *If I must go, let's go!* » :I resign myself to go (*p*), but I really don't feel like going (*p'*).

(1b)- In the same context:

*\*təi Ø təi !*

go    ø    go

(2a)- Khmer popular saying :

*ka:ʔ            ka:    ba:j    me:ma:j            ka:    sre:j*  
*rice cold    ka:    rice    widow            ka:    woman*

« Cold rice is always cold rice; a widow is always a woman! » :

The locutor points out that accepting the cold rice, and the fact that "cold rice is cold rice" and that "a widow is a woman" (**(p)**) is in no way easy, natural, acceptable, obvious (the reverse **(p')** could be argued for)

(2b)-\* *ka:ʔ            ø            ba:j    me:ma:j            ø            sre:j*  
*rice cold            ø            rice    widow            ø            woman*

(3a) *ba:z    koat    t<sup>h</sup>wə:    ba:n                    k<sup>h</sup>ɲəm    ka:    t<sup>h</sup>wə:    ba:n*  
 si    3SG.    do    possible                    3SG.    ka:    do    possible

« Since he can do it, there is no reason why I should not be able to do it myself ».

Asserting "I'm able to do it myself" (**(p)**) takes into consideration the fact that I may be considered as unable to do it (**(p')**).

(3b) *ba:z    koat    t<sup>h</sup>wə:    ba:n                    k<sup>h</sup>ɲəm    ø            t<sup>h</sup>wə:    ba:n*  
 si    3SG.    do    possible                    3SG.    ø            do    possible

« He can do it, and I can do it ». 'I' is on the same level as 'he'

(4)- *sə:mbej-tæ    k<sup>h</sup>me:j    ka:            cəh    t<sup>h</sup>wə:    dae*  
 even child    ka:    know    -do    PART.

« Even children can do it! ». The fact that children can do it (**(p)**) is in no way obvious (**(p')**)

(5)- *koat    nijizj    cap    p<sup>h</sup>liəm    ka:            koat    ce:j    pi:            bantəp    pra:cəm*  
 3SG.    speak    finish    instant    ka:            3SG.    get out of            meeting room

« He had hardly finished speaking when he left the meeting room ». 'leaving the meeting room' (**(p)**) is not the expected way of behaving (**(p')**).

(6)- *pe:l                    koat    kampuŋ            mi:z    paŋha:*  
 when 3SG.    having                    problem  
*k<sup>h</sup>ɲəm            ka:    kampuŋ            c<sup>h</sup>ɛ:                    t<sup>h</sup>ŋon*  
 1SG.            ka:    being    suffer    heavy

*ʔaɔj                    k<sup>h</sup>ɲəm    cu:zj    koat    ja:ŋ                    me:c*  
 give 1SG.    help    3SG.    way                    how

« He had serious problems, and I was seriously ill. How could I have helped him ?! ».

Blaming me for not helping Dara, the co-locutor acts as if he didn't know I was ill

(7)- *k<sup>h</sup>ɲəm                    mək    nəi            p<sup>h</sup>ɲəmpe:j            ka:            daɔjsa:                    koat*

1SG.            come   live   Phnom Penh   **ka:**   because of   3SG.  
*kʰnəm*        *cʰəp*   *tʰwə:ka:ka:*   *daɔjsa:*        *koat*

1SG.            stop   work   **ka:**   because of   3SG.

« If I went to Phnom Penh, it's because of him, if I stopped working, it's also because of him! ». The co-locutor (or whoever may be concerned) acts as if he weren't aware of the reasons why I behaved as I did

### **Bibliographie :**

Enfield, N. J. (2008). “Verbs and multi-verb construction in Lao”. In A. V. N. Diller, J. A. Edmondson, & Y. Luo (Eds.), *The Tai-Kadai languages* (pp. 83-183), London: Routledge.  
 S. Iwazaki et P. Ingkaphirom (2005), *A reference grammar of Thai*. Cambridge University press .

Somsonge, B., (1992), « The Functions of *kA* in Oral Kui Narrative », in *Mon-Khmer Studies*, num. 1989-1990, Mahidon University,

Thach, J. D. (2007) *L'indéfinition en khmer : du groupe nominal au discours*, Thèse de doctorat, INALCO.